

Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above.

This dispute was received on 04/14/03.

I. DISPUTE

Whether there should be additional reimbursement for hospital admission for dates of service 04/15/02 through 04/25/02. The EOB has a denial of "F-Reduced according to fee guidelines. Reimbursement was calculated using the stop loss method."

II. RATIONALE

Requestor submitted a packet of additional information, but the carrier did not submit a response.

According to the Table of Disputed Services, the requestor has indicated the amount in dispute is \$23,916.80. The Table has a black mark through the date of service 04/12/02 indicating this date is not in dispute. Therefore, the only dates of service to be reviewed are 04/15/02 through 04/25/02.

Requestor charged \$177.00 for medications that are not part of the compensable injury and will be deducted from the total amount in dispute.

During the respondent's audit of the disputed services, the carrier carved out the implantables and reimbursed the requestor \$20,760.00. Per rule 134.401(c)(4)(A)(i) this action is allowed only when stop loss is not in effect with a total audited bill below \$40,000.00.

Audit reductions are made per Rule 133.1, 133.301 and 134.401. Per Rule 134.401 (c)(6)(v), "Audited charges are those charges which remain after a bill review by the insurance carrier has been performed." The carrier did not submit an audit for the charges.

According to Rule 134.401 (b)(2)(A) all hospitals are required to bill usual and customary. The requestor billed usual and customary. The carrier did not respond to the dispute. Consequently, without the appropriate audits per §133.301 and 134.401, the total of these disputed/audited charges exceed \$40,000.00.

According to Rule 134.401(c)(6), the services in dispute are to be reimbursed per the Stop-Loss Method. Stop-loss is an independent methodology established to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the hospital for unusually costly services rendered during treatment to an injured worker. Rule 134.401(c)(6)(A)(i) states that to be eligible for stop-loss payment the total

audited charges for a hospital admission must exceed \$40,000. The reimbursement for the entire audited admission shall be paid using a Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor (SLRF) of 75%. The Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor is multiplied by the total audited charges to determine the Workers Compensation Reimbursement Amount (WCRA) for the admission. Rule 134.401(c)(6)(B) states the formula for calculating the appropriate reimbursement is:

Audited Charges x SLRF = WCRA.”

\$59,569.06	Total billed charges
<u>-177.00</u>	Proper audit reductions not part of the compensable injury
\$59,392.06	Total audited charges
x 75%	SLRA
<u>44,544.05</u>	Total recommended reimbursement
<u>-20,760.00</u>	Payments made
\$23,784.05	Additional reimbursement recommended (WCRA)

III. DECISION & ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services within this request, the Division has determined that the requestor **is** entitled to additional reimbursement for hospital admission of 04/15/02 through 04/25/02. Pursuant to Sections 402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 the Division hereby ORDERS the Respondent to remit **\$23,784.05** plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days receipt of this Order.

The above Findings, Decision and Order are hereby issued this 8th day of November 2004.

Michael Bucklin
Medical Dispute Resolution Officer
Medical Review Division

Hilda H. Baker, Manager
Medical Dispute Resolution
Medical Review Division

HHB/mkb